



## Hearing Action Points

Application by Norfolk Boreas Limited for an Order Granting Development Consent for the Norfolk Boreas Offshore Windfarm Project

Actions arising from the DCO hearing held at The Kings Centre, King Street, Norwich, NR1 1PH on Wednesday 13 November 2019.

Action	Party	Deadline	
<b>Wednesday 13 November 2019</b>			
1	Check plans and Design and Access Statement to confirm if the 'red lines' are the same as the Order Limits, and amend drawings as required for clarity	Applicant	D2
2	Review dDCO to consider implications of separating out the plans for the two scenarios in the Land Plans and consequent implications of doing so for the dDCO	Applicant	D2
3	Clarify offshore Order Limits for Scenario 2 on Land Plan Offshore	Applicant	D2
4	Provide definition of remedial work	Applicant	D2
5	Check capitalisation of the word 'Part' when referring to parts of the documents	Applicant	D2
6	Review arbitration and appeal procedure and the Applicant / MMO position in light of relevant SoS wind farm decisions	Applicant, MMO	ongoing
7	Clarify Associated Development(s) not required for Scenario 2	Applicant	D2
8	Review layout of dDCO with a view to separating out Associated Development more clearly	Applicant	D2
9	Provide clarity as to where temporary structures have been defined in the ES including details of what they could comprise, height, scale and how long they could be on site	Applicant	D2
10	Consider whether wording of Associated Development Works 4C to 12B item (p) can be tightened. Are both words 'necessary' and 'expedient' required; and if yes could it be reworded as 'expedient and necessary'?	Applicant	D2
11	Post hearing note to assist understanding of the cable logistics and welfare activities proposed for the disused Oulton Airfield, when considered cumulatively with proposed adjacent projects	Applicant	D2



# The Planning Inspectorate

12	Work together to provide response to what more detail on design and function could/ should be secured for the substations and environs in the dDCO not leaving too many details to post-consent approvals, including reviewing Rochdale envelope extent	Applicant, Breckland Council	D2
13	Consider how temporary compounds are illustrated in application plans with a view to providing clarity around maximum dimensions of the temporary compound	Applicant	D2
14	NNDC to provide further comment on advance planting and other aspects of Requirement 18	NNDC	D2
15	Respond as to whether more detail is required in Requirement 18, for items for future approvals which are secured via an outline plan	Breckland Council	D2
16	Applicant to check whether all National Trust objections are now agreed and if so, to establish whether the National Trust wishes to withdraw its objection	Applicant	D2
17	Requirement 23 - Applicant to discuss with Orsted Hornsea Project Three (UK) Ltd details of the commercial agreement so that this can be entered into this Examination	Applicant	D2
18	Post hearing note setting out the implications in relation to Protective Provisions if Hornsea Project Three and Norfolk Vanguard were consented, and if subsequently the Benefit of the Order were transferred to another undertaker	Applicant	D2
19	Liaise with Coal Authority and confirm if the Coal Authority is content with an informative note being included in the Outline Code of Construction Practice. If the Coal Authority is content, to request that it confirms this in writing to the Examination	Applicant	D2
20	Provide written responses to those items set out in the first Issue Specific Hearing on the draft Development Consent Order which were not covered in the hearing	Applicant (and other attendees)	D2